## Classes and Objects

## Example:

```
int count = 0;
class list {
    int info;
    class list * next;
    list (int x) {
                        info = x; count++; next = null;
        }
    virtual int last () {
        if (next == null) return info;
                        else return next }->\mathrm{ last ();
            }
        }
```


## Discussion:

- We adopt the C++ perspective on classes and objects.
- We extend our implementation of C. In particular ...
- Classes are considered as extensions of structs. They may comprise:
$\Rightarrow \quad$ attributes, i.e., data fields;
$\Rightarrow$ constructors;
$\Rightarrow$ member functions which either are virtual, i.e., are called depending on the run-time type or non-virtual, i.e., called according to the static type of an object :-)
$\Rightarrow \quad$ static member functions which are like ordinary functions :-))
- We ignore visibility restrictions such as public, protected or private but simply assume general visibility.
- We ignore multiple inheritance :-)


## 50 Object Layout

## Idea:

- Only attributes and virtual member functions are stored inside the class !!
- The addresses of non-virtual or static member functions as well as of constructors can be resolved at compile-time :-)
- The fields of a sub-class are appended to the corresponding fields of the super-class ...
... in our Example:



## Idea (cont.):

- The fields of a sub-class are appended to the corresponding fields of the super-class :-)

Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { class mylist: list \{ } \\
& \text { int morelnfo; } \\
& \}
\end{aligned}
$$

... results in:


For every class $C$ we assume that we are given an adress environment $\rho_{C}$. $\rho_{C}$ maps every identifier $x$ visible inside $C$ to its decorated relative address $a$. We distingish:

| global variable | $(G, a)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| local variable | $(L, a)$ |
| attribute | $(A, a)$ |
| virtual function | $(V, b)$ |
| non-virtual function | $(N, a)$ |
| static function | $(S, a)$ |

For virtual functions $x$, we do not store the starting address of the code - but the relative address $b$ of the field of $x$ inside the object :-)

For the various of variables, we obtain for the L-values:

$$
\operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{L}} x \rho= \begin{cases}\text { loadr } 1 & \text { if } x=\text { this } \\
\text { loadc } a & \text { if } \rho x=(G, a) \\
\text { loadr } a & \text { if } \rho x=(L, a) \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { loadr } 1 \\
\text { loadc } a \\
\text { add }
\end{array} & \text { if } \rho x=(A, a)\end{cases}
$$

In particular, the pointer to the current object has relative address 1 :-)

Accordingly, we introduce the abbreviated operations:

| loadm q $=$ | loadr 1 <br> loadc $q$ <br> add <br> load |
| ---: | :--- |
| bla ; storem q $=$ | loadr 1 |
|  | loadc $q$ <br> add <br> bla <br> store |

## Discussion:

- Besides storing the current object pointer inside the stack frame, we could have additionally used a specific register COP :-)
- This register must updated before calls to non-static member functions and restored after the call.
- We have refrained from doing so since
$\rightarrow$ Only some functions are member functions :-)
$\rightarrow \quad$ We want to reuse as much of the C-machine as possible :-))


## 51 Calling Member Functions

Static member functions are considered as ordinary functions :-)
For non-static member functions, we distinguish two forms of calls:
(1) directly: $f\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$
(2) relative to an object: $e_{1} \cdot f\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$

## Idea:

- The case (1) is considered as an abbreviation of this. $\left.f\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \quad:-\right)$
- The object is passed to $f$ as an implicit first argument :-)
- If $f$ is non-virtual, proceed as with an ordinary call of a function :-)
- If $f$ is virtual, insert an indirect call :-)


## A non-virtual function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{1} \cdot f\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \rho=\text { mark } \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{L}} e_{1} \rho \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{2} \rho \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{n} \rho \\
& \text { loadc _f } \\
& \text { call } m+1 \\
& \text { where }\left(F, \_f\right)=\rho_{C}(f) \\
& \mathrm{C}=\text { class of } e_{1} \\
& m=\text { space for the actual parameters }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Note:

The pointer to the object is obtained by computing the L-value of $e_{1}$ :-)

## A virtual function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{1} \cdot f\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \rho= & \text { mark } \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{L}} e_{1} \rho \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{2} \rho \\
& \ldots \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{n} \rho \\
& \text { loads } \mathrm{m} \\
& \text { loadc } b \\
& \text { add } ; \text { load } \\
& \text { call } \mathrm{m}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { where } & (V, b)=\rho_{C}(f) \\
& C=\text { class of } \quad e_{1} \\
& \mathrm{~m}=\text { space for the actual parameters }
\end{aligned}
$$

The instruction loads $j$ loads relative to the stack pointer:


$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{SP}+1]=\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{SP}-\mathrm{j}] ; \\
\mathrm{SP}++;
\end{gathered}
$$

## ... in the Example:

The recursive call

$$
\text { next } \rightarrow \text { last }()
$$

in the body of the virtual method last is translated into:

mark<br>loadm 1<br>loads 0<br>loadc 2<br>add<br>load<br>call 1

## 52 Defining Member Functions

In general, a definition of a member function for class $C$ looks as follows:

$$
d \equiv t f\left(t_{2} x_{2}, \ldots, t_{n} x_{n}\right)\{s s\}
$$

## Idea:

- $f$ is treated like an ordinary function with one extra implicit argument
- Inside $f$ a pointer this to the current object has relative address 1 :-)
- Object-local data must be addressed relative to this ...

```
    code }d\rho=\mp@subsup{_}{D}{}f: enter q // Setting the EP
                            alloc m // Allocating the local variables
    code ss \mp@subsup{\rho}{1}{}
    return // Leaving the function
where q = max S + m where
    maxS = maximal depth of the local stack
    m = space for the local variables
    k = space for the formal parameters (including this)
    \rho}=\quad= local address environmen
```


## ... in the Example:

| _last: | enter 6 | loadm 0 | loads 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | alloc 0 | storer -3 | loadc 2 |
| loadm 1 | return | add |  |
| loadc 0 |  |  | load |
| eq | A: | mark | call 1 |
| jumpz A |  | loadm 1 | storer -3 |
|  |  |  | return |

## 53 Calling Constructors

Every new object should be initialized by (perhaps implicitly) calling a constructor. We distinguish two forms of object creations:
(1) directly: $x=C\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$;
(2) indirectly: new $C\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$

## Idea for <br> (2):

- Allocate space for the object and return a pointer to it on the stack;
- Initialize the fields for virtual functions;
- Pass the object pointer as first parameter to a call to the constructor;
- Proceed as with an ordinary call of a (non-virtual) member function :-)
- Unboxed objects are considered later ...

```
code R new C (e, e,.., en); \rho= malloc }|C
    initVirtual C
    mark
    loads 4 // loads relative to SP :-)
    coderR e}\mp@subsup{e}{2}{}
    coded}\mp@subsup{R}{R}{}\mp@subsup{e}{n}{}
    loadc _C
    call m+1
    pop
    where m = space for the actual parameters.
```


## Note:

Before calling the constructor, we initialize all fields of virtual functions. The pointer to the object is copied into the frame by a new instruction :-)

Assume that the class $C$ lists the virtual functions $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ for $C$ with the offsets and initial addresses: $\quad b_{i}$ and $a_{i}$, respectively:

Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { initVirtual } C= & \text { dup } \\
& \text { loadc } b_{1} ; \text { add } \\
& \text { loadc } a_{1} ; \text { store } \\
& \text { pop } \\
& \ldots \\
& \text { dup } \\
& \text { loadc } b_{r} ; \text { add } \\
& \text { loadc } a_{r} ; \text { store } \\
& \text { pop }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 54 Defining Constructors

In general, a definition of a constructor for class $C$ looks as follows:

$$
d \equiv C\left(t_{2} x_{2}, \ldots, t_{n} x_{n}\right)\{s s\}
$$

## Idea:

- Treat the constructor as a definition of an ordinary member function :-)
... in the Example:

| _list: | enter 3 | loada 1 | loadc 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | alloc 0 | dup | storem 1 |
|  | loadr 2 | loadc 1 | pop |
|  | storem 0 | add | return |
| pop | storea 1 |  |  |
|  |  | pop |  |
|  |  | pop |  |

## Discussion:

The constructor may issue further constructors for attributes if desired :-) The constructor may call a constructor of the super class $B$ as first action:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { code } B\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) ; \rho= & \text { mark } \\
& \text { loadr } 1 \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{2} \rho \\
& \ldots \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{n} \rho \\
& \operatorname{loadc}{ }^{2} B \\
& \text { call } \mathrm{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}=$ space for the actual parameters.

Thus, the constructor is applied to the current object of the calling constructor :-)

## 55 Initializing Unboxed Objects

## Problem:

The same constructor application can be used for initializing several variables:

$$
x=x_{1}=C\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)
$$

## Idea:

- Allocate sufficient space for a temporary copy of a new $C$ object.
- Initialize the temporary copy.
- Assign this value to the variables to be intialized :-)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} C\left(e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \rho= \text { stalloc }|C| \\
& \text { initVirtual } C \\
& \text { mark } \\
& \operatorname{loads} 4 \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{2} \rho \\
& \ldots \\
& \operatorname{code}_{\mathrm{R}} e_{n} \rho \\
& \operatorname{loadc}_{-} C \\
& \operatorname{call~} \mathrm{~m}+1 \\
& \text { pop } \\
& \text { pop } \\
& \text { where } \quad \mathrm{m}=\text { space for the actual parameters. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Note:

The instruction stallocm is like malloc m but allocates on the stack :-) We assume that we have assignments between complex types:-)


